[2025] 178 taxmann.com 153 (Article)

[2025] 178 taxmann.com 153 (Article)©

Date of Publishing: September 8, 2025

Simplified Guide: Supreme Court on Oppression & Mismanagement (Shailaja Krishna v. Satori Global Limited)

CS RAHUL SAHASRABUDDHE

Designated Partner, SPRS & Co. LLP, Company Secretaries

Introduction

Corporate governance simply means the rules and processes by which a company is run. It ensures fairness between those who manage the company and those who own shares in it. Sometimes, however, people in control misuse their power, and minority shareholders (or even majority ones, in rare cases) are left helpless. To protect such people, the law gives special powers to the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT). Recently, in the case of *Mrs. Shailja Krishna* v. *Satori Global Limited* [2025] 178 taxmann.com 70 (SC), the Supreme Court of India made an important ruling. This ruling clarified that the NCLT has wide powers to deal with cases of oppression (unfair treatment of shareholders) and mismanagement (misuse of company resources).

Background of the Law

The concept of protection against oppression and mismanagement comes from old English company law. Indian law adopted these ideas through the Companies Act, 1956 (Sections 397 and 398) and now under the Companies Act, 2013 (Sections 241 and 242). The main idea is simple, shareholders should not suffer because those in power misuse their authority. The NCLT has been given flexible, equitable powers, which means it can go beyond strict technicalities of law to ensure fairness and justice.

What is Oppression & Mismanagement?

Oppression means unfair treatment of shareholders. It is not always illegal on the surface, but it becomes oppression when actions harm the rights or interests of shareholders. Some common examples include:

- Forcing a shareholder out of management without reason.
- Passing resolutions in board meetings without giving proper notice.
- Using company resources for personal benefit.
- Continuous acts of fraud or unfair practices.

Mismanagement, on the other hand, is when the company's affairs are run in a way that harms the company, its shareholders, or even public interest. This could mean reckless financial decisions, ignoring company rules, or fraudulent share transfers.

Facts of the Case

Mrs. Shailaja Krishna held over 98% of the shares in Sargam Exim Pvt. Ltd. (later renamed Satori Global Ltd.). Due to marital disputes with her husband (a co-promoter), several manipulative steps were taken against her:

- A resignation letter was shown as if she had resigned from the company, which she denied.
- Board meetings were conducted without proper notice and without her presence.
- A gift deed was made showing that she gifted all her shares to her mother-in-law, though the law did not allow such a transfer.
- Share transfer forms were tampered with and used even after expiry.

The NCLT found fraud and restored her as shareholder and director. However, the NCLAT disagreed and said such fraud issues could only be dealt with by civil courts. This led to the appeal in the Supreme Court.

What the Supreme Court Held

1. **Maintainability of Petition:** The Court said Shailaja Krishna continued to be a member because a fraudulent share transfer is void from the beginning. So she could file the case.
2. **NCLT's Powers:** The Court made it clear that NCLT can deal with issues of fraud, forgery, and coercion if they are linked to oppression and mismanagement. Otherwise, wrongdoers could escape responsibility.
3. **Gift Deed & Share Transfer:** The gift deed was invalid because Articles of Association did not allow gifts to a mother-in-law. The share transfer forms were manipulated, expired, and unreliable. Hence, the transfer was null and void.
4. **Board Meetings:** The board meetings in which appellant's resignation was 'accepted' were invalid because proper notice was not given and quorum (minimum attendance) was not met.
5. **Oppression & Mismanagement:** Taken together, these acts clearly showed a pattern of oppression and mismanagement against Shailaja Krishna.

Key Lessons from the Case

1. **Articles of Association must be followed:** Any act against company rules is invalid.
2. **Deadlines matter:** Share transfer forms and statutory timelines must be respected.
3. **Board procedures are serious:** Proper notice and quorum are essential.
4. **Fraud cancels everything:** Fraudulent acts will never be protected by courts.
5. **Consistency is important:** Giving different versions in different courts weakens credibility.

Why This Judgment Matters

This judgment strengthens shareholder rights, especially in family-run companies where personal disputes often spill into business. It empowers the NCLT to handle not only technical matters but also issues of fraud and coercion. It also shows that the Supreme Court prioritises fairness and justice over procedural technicalities. For directors and company promoters, the message is clear: rules, honesty, and fairness cannot be ignored.

Conclusion

The Supreme Court's ruling in *Mrs. Shailja Krishna* (*supra*) is a strong message to all companies in India: corporate governance is not just about paperwork, it is about fairness and honesty. The NCLT has the power to step in when shareholders are unfairly treated, even if fraud is involved. In simple terms, this judgment ensures that no shareholder can be cheated out of their rights through manipulation or fraud.

■■